

Colorectal Cancer Prevention and Early Detection April 29, 2010

Peter Lance, MD Professor of Medicine Chief Cancer Control Officer Arizona Cancer Center





- Est. 450 million deaths globally, 2000 to 2050
- Cessation before middle age avoids >90% of lung cancer mortality
- Aggressive tax policy is effective (France)
- Steep increases in smoking in low- and middle-income countries
- Effective treatments for tobacco dependence ARE available

	Cancer Statistics, 2009 Jemal. CA Cancer J Clin <u>59</u> :225-249 (2009)		2009 -249 (2009)
	Cancer Site	Estimated New Cases	Estimated Deaths
	Lung	219,440	159,390
	Colorectal	146,970	49,920
	Breast	194,280	40,610
	Prostate	192,280	27,360
l	will a	J	











Colorectal Cancer Staging

Clinical		TNM
Localized	I: Primary tumor within bowel wall	T1-2, N0, M0
Regional	II: Primary tumor penetrates bowel wall (IIA), & invades other organs (IIB) without nodes	T1-4, N0 (II) or N1 (III), MO
	III: Within bowel wall + nodes (IIIA), penetrates bowel + nodes (IIIB or C)	T1-4, N1-2, M0
Distant	IV: Distant metastases	T (any), N (any), M1
the setter		<u>M</u>











Age	-Standa Incid	rdized ence a	Color	rectal ortality	Cancer
		Incid	ence		
	White	Af. Am.	As./PI	AI/AN	Hisp.
М	64.1	72.4	57.2	37.5	49.8
F	46.2	56.2	38.8	32.6	32.9
		Mort	ality		
М	25.3	34.6	15.8	18.5	18.4
F	17.5	24.6	11.0	12.1	11.4
Ward e	t al. CA Cano	cer J Clin <u>54</u>	<u>l</u> , 78-93 (20	04)	



Take Home Message #1

Disparities in access to colorectal cancer prevention and screening measures must be eliminated • African Americans • Native Americans • Hispanics



COLORECTAL NEOPLASIA PATHOBIOLOGY















Advanced Colorectal Neoplasia: Prevalence in Screened Populations

	Population	Prevalence %
Lieberman NEJM, 2000	Male veterans	10.5
Schoenfeld NEJM, 2005	Females, military	4.9
Regula	Age 50-66 y	5.9
NEJM, 2006	Age 40-49 y	3.4
Kim NEJM, 2007	Healthy screening cohort	3.3
the selfer	1 J	<u></u>



Metachronous Colorectal Adenomas Martinez ME. Gastroenterology <u>136</u>, 832-841 (2009)

- Following removal of all adenomas, metachronous (i.e., new or recurrent) adenomas are found in 20-50% of individuals at surveillance colonoscopy performed 3-5 years later
- Individuals at greatest risk for metachronous adenomas and progression to colorectal cancer can be identified from incident/baseline adenoma characteristics:
 - An advanced adenoma (or prior colorectal cancer) More than 2 non-advanced adenomas

Flat adenomas & right-sided adenomas??









Take Home Message #3

Every first-degree relative of a patient with colorectal cancer should be aware of this risk factor

- Number of cases
- First degree (parent, sibling or child) or not
 Age(s) at first diagnosis

A



Take Home Message #4

Every patient with a condition that puts them at increased risk for colorectal cancer should be aware of this • Ulcerative colitis • Crohn's colitis











BMI, Metak Jacobs I Jacobs E.	Dolic Syndron E. Clin Gastroenterol Am J Epidemiol, in p Increased Ri	Lic Syndrome & CRA Recurrence Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol <u>5</u> , 982-990 (2007); m J Epidemiol, in press; Ashbeck E, submitted Increased Risk for CRA Recurrence	
	Obesity Alone	Inc. of [gluc] & waist circumference	
Male	Yes	Yes	
Female	No	Yes	
1 .14	 Proximal neopla Compounded b Insulin resistan 	asia y family history ce	
1 AL	1 de		



Lifestyle factors are major risk factors for colorectal cancer and should be addressed aggressively as early as possible

 Physical activity • BMI

Smoking

Je Jelle A

COLORECTAL CANCER EARLY DETECTION/ SCREENING

Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests

Structural

- Flexible sigmoidoscopy (FSIG)
- **Optical colonoscopy (OC)**
- Computed tomographic colonography (CTC)

Fecal blood test (FBT)

- Guaiac-based occult (gFOBT); Hemoccult II
 High-sensitivity gFOBT; Hemoccult SENSA
 Fecal immunochemical tests (FIT; FlexSure,
- HemeSelect
- Stool DNA (sDNA)

Optical Col	onoscopy – T	he Benchmark
Cotton JAMA, 2004	OC vs. VC (CTC)	100% sens. (≥ 10 mm)
van Rijn AJG, 2006	Tandem OC	2.1% miss rate (≥ 10 mm)
Barclay NEJM, 2006	Withdrawal time < 6 vs. ≥ 6 min n = 7,882	Advanced neopl. 2.6% vs. 6.4%
Dominitz Gastrointest. Endosc., 2003	Complications of OC	Perforation rates 1-3 per 1,000
Mr. Jele 1	J	

Optical Colonoscopy & Computed Tomographic Colonography Advanced Colorectal Neoplasia



Performan	ce of Non-li – Advance	nvasive Scr ed Neoplasi	reening Tests a
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Source
	%	%	
gFOBT	13	93	Morikawa
			2005
FIT	20	95	Morikawa
			2005
Fecal DNA	18	94	Imperiale
			2004
СТС	90	86	Johnson
			2008
the deal	Je Je		A



P	erformanc Allison JE.	e of N	ewer Fecal Acad Sci <u>99</u> , 1462	Blood Tests -1470 (2007)
			Sensitivity	Specificity
			%	%
		CRC	81.8	96.9
	FII	AA	29.5	97.3
	Sensitive	CRC	64.3	90.1
	GT	AA	41.3	90.6
	FIT +	CRC	64.3	98.1
	Sensitive GT	AA	22.8	98.4
-	FIT: Fecal immu Sensitive GT: G	unochemic Juaiac feca	al test; FlexSure OB l occult blood test; H	T/Hemoccult ICT łemoccult Sensa
1	1 le le	k.J.		



Colorectal Cancer Screening US Preventive Services Task Force Ann Intern Med <u>149</u> , 627-637 (2008)	
TEST	FREQUENCY
High-sensitivity FBT, Hemocult SENSA or FIT	Annual
High-sensitivity FBT	Every 3 years
+ FSIG	Every 5 years
Colonoscopy	Every 10 years
For reasons not evidence FSIG is now very re	based, availability of estricted in US
where we have	





Screening Colonoscopy – Disadvantages, Deficiencies, Misuse

1. Costs

- Complications finite mortality
- Subject reluctance
- Missed and subtle lesions: post-screening invasive CRC 5. The right-sided conundrum 6. Under- and overuse













Colonoscopy Follow-Up/Sur Gastroenterology <u>130</u> , 1872-1875	veillance 5 (2006)
No Adenoma	10 years
Non-Advanced Adenoma	5 years
*Advanced Adenoma or >2 Adenomas	3 years
*Diameter ≥ 10 mm, or villous histolog high-grade dysplasia	gy or

Surveillance colonoscopy with	in 5 years:
Advanced adenoma	58.4%
> 2 Non-advanced adenomas	57.5%
1 or 2 Non-advanced adenomas	46.7%
No adenomas	26.5%

A

1.11



Everyone should undergo periodic screening for colorectal cancer between the ages of 50 and 75 years

- Screening should be tailored to individual risk
- Individuals at increased risk should be
- screened by colonoscopy For those at average risk, CT colonography or FBT (FIT or Hemoccult SENSA) are acceptable alternatives, <u>NOT</u> Hemoccult II When available, FSIG also acceptable

















RCT of Difluoromethylornithine (DFMO) + Sulindac Meyskens FL. Cancer Prev Res <u>1</u> , 32-38 (2008)				
	Recurrence (%) RR (95% CI)	Р	
Any Adenoma				
Placebo	41.1	1.0		
DFMO + Sul.	12.3	0.3 (0.18-0.49)	<0.001	
Advanced Ad.				
Placebo	8.5	1.0		
DFMO + Sul.	0.7	0.085 (0.011-0.65)	<0.001	
Multiple Ad.				
Placebo	13.2	1.0		
DFMO + Sul	0.7	0.055 (0.0074-0.41)	<0.001	
the wife	DFMO Sulindac	500 mg daily 150 mg daily		







For a minor proportion of the population, chemoprevention may be a consideration, though *not* yet accepted as part of usual care

- Low-dose aspirin and calcium supplements
- DFMO ± NSAID shows considerable promise
- Tailored
- Patient categories for consideration include

 - Prior colorectal cancer
 Advanced & multiple (>2) adenomas
 Genetically predisposed (family history)
 Predisposing lifestyles, e.g. BMI >30
 + inflammatory markers (<u>speculative</u>)

<u>A</u>(----

Preventing Colorectal Cancer

- t. Cancer health disparities must be eliminated
- Prior CRC or advanced/multiple adenomas confer ↑ risk
 Be informed of family history (FDR) of CRC
- Patients with ulcerative or Crohn's colitis at ↑ risk
 Lifestyle factors (obesity/BMI, lack of physical activity)
- are major risk factors for CRC Everyone between ages of 50 and 75 years should
- undergo periodic screening
- Colonoscopy for those at increased risk
- CTC or FBT (FIT or Hemoccult SENSA) acceptable for those at average risk. If available, FSIG acceptable Chemoprevention a viable consideration for a minority
- of the population at increased risk for CRC

1	e le le	Je Je	
---	---------	-------	--

